Prenatal Phthalate Exposures and Anogenital Distance in Swedish Boys

Carl-Gustaf Bornehag, Fredrik Carlstedt, Bo AG. Jönsson, Christian H. Lindh, Tina K. Jensen, Anna Bodin, Carin Jonsson, Staffan Janson, and Shanna H. Swan

Prenatal Phthalate Exposures and Anogenital Distance in Swedish Boys

Carl-Gustaf Bornehag,[1] Fredrik Carlstedt,[2] Bo AG. Jönsson,[3] Christian H. Lindh,[3] Tina K. Jensen,[4] Anna Bodin,[2] Carin Jonsson,[2] Staffan Janson,[1] and Shanna H. Swan[5]

[1]Department of Health Sciences, Karlstad University, Karlstad, Sweden; [2] County Council of Värmland, Karlstad, Sweden; [3]Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; [4]Department of Environmental Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark; [5]Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

Address correspondence to Carl-Gustaf Bornehag, Karlstad University,

Department of Health Sciences, 651 88 Karlstad, Sweden. Telephone: +46 54 700 25 40.

E-mail: carl-gustaf.bornehag@kau.se

Running title: Prenatal exposure to phthalates and AGD in boys

Abstract

Background: Phthalates are used as plasticizers in soft polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and in a large number of consumer products. Due to reported health risks, di-isononyl phthalate (DiNP) has been introduced as a replacement for diethyl hexyl phthalate (DEHP) in soft PVC. This raises concerns since animal data suggest that DiNP may have anti-androgenic properties similar to DEHP. The anogenital distance (AGD) - the distance from the anus to the genitals - has been used to assess reproductive toxicity.

Objective: The objective of this study was to examine the associations between prenatal phthalate exposure and AGD in Swedish infants.

Methods: AGD was measured in 196 boys at age 21 months and first trimester urine was analyzed for ten phthalate metabolites of DEP, DBP, DEHP, BBzP as well as DiNP and creatinine. Data on covariates were collected by questionnaires.

Results: The most significant associations were found between the shorter of two AGD measures (anoscrotal distance, AGDas) and DiNP metabolites and strongest for oh-MMeOP and oxo-MMeOP. However, the AGDas reduction was small (4%) in relation to more than an interquartile increase in DiNP exposure.

Conclusions: These findings call into question the safety of substituting DiNP for DEHP in soft PVC, particularly since a shorter male AGD has been shown to relate to male genital birth defects in children and impaired reproductive function in adult males and the fact that human levels of DiNP are increasing globally.

Introduction

Diesters of 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid (phthalic acid), commonly referred to as phthalates, belong to a group of chemicals with endocrine disrupting properties, meaning that they can interfere with our normal hormonal balance, potentially resulting in adverse human health effects. A recent state of the art report from WHO provides new evidence for several human health risks from exposure to phthalates and other endocrine disrupters (EDCs) including reproductive health and sexual development (Bergman et al. 2012).

Phthalates are used as plasticizers in soft polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and found in a large number of commonly used consumer products including food, building materials, plastics, cosmetics, cleaning products, packages, toys, etc. (Bornehag et al. 2005; Dodson et al. 2012; Rudel et al. 2011). Often, the fraction of phthalates in the plastics is as high as 30% or more. Because they are not covalently bound to the product matrix, phthalates can leach into the surrounding environment. As a result they are routinely found in indoor air (Bergh et al. 2011; Rudel and Perovich 2009) and dust (Abb et al. 2009; Bornehag et al. 2005; Bornehag et al. 2004; Langer et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2013) as well as in food and water (Shi et al. 2012).

Phthalates are ubiquitous in the environment and humans are exposed by multiple routes (oral, dermal and inhalation), the pathway varying by phthalate. It has recently been shown, for example, that exposure to di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) is primarily via food and for children also via mouthing of plastics, while exposure to diethyl phthalate (DEP) and benzyl butyl phthalate (BBzP) is primarily via dermal and inhalation pathways (Carlstedt et al. 2013; Koch et al. 2013; Wittassek et al. 2011). Robust biomonitoring data show phthalate metabolites in human fluids such as urine, both in children (Langer et al. 2014) and adults (Wittassek et al. 2011), blood (Fredriksen et al. 2010; Wan et al. 2013) and breast milk (Fromme et al. 2011).

These compounds have also been found in amniotic fluid, suggesting that they cross the placental barrier and convey foetal exposure (Jensen et al. 2012).

One complication in studying phthalate exposure is that replacement of phthalates is continuously on going as risks are reported for older formulations. One example of this is the introduction of di-isononyl phthalate (DiNP), and more recently di-isononyl cyclohexane-1, 2-dicarboxylate (DINCH), to replace DEHP in soft PVC (ECHA 2013). Recent bio monitoring data show that urinary levels of DEHP metabolites are decreasing while DiNP-metabolites are increasing in European (Göen et al. 2011) and American populations (Silva et al. 2013).

Phthalates and reproductive health

The impact of endocrine disrupting chemicals on male reproductive health has been a research focus for almost 20 years. During that time, multiple studies in laboratory animals (since 1995) (Sharpe et al. 1995) and humans (since 2005) (Swan et al. 2005) have demonstrated the sensitivity of the developing male reproductive system to several phthalates. Phthalates may reduce the production of androgens by the testis and rodent studies have demonstrated that DEHP and dibutyl phthalate (DBP) disrupt androgen signaling when administered in the critical window for the development of the reproductive tract (MacLeod et al. 2010; Van den Driesche et al. 2011). In humans, there are similar findings where phthalates (e.g., DEHP and DBP) are suspected to be related to male reproductive and developmental abnormalities (ECHA 2013).

Anogenital distance and phthalate exposure

The anogenital distance (AGD) - the distance from the anus to the genitals - is a marker that has been used in animal studies to assess reproductive toxicity (USEPA 1996). AGD is a sexually dimorphic trait that develops *in utero* under androgen control and is 50-100% longer in males

4

than females.

Numerous studies have shown that prenatal phthalate exposure (notably DEHP, DBP, BBzP) shortens male AGD in rodents (Foster 2005; van den Driesche et al. 2011). Only a few human studies have examined prenatal phthalate exposure and AGD. The first to examine this association in humans reported significant inverse relationships between male AGD and DEP, DBP BBzP and monoisobutyl phthalate (MiBP) metabolites (Swan et al. 2005), and a later publication with a larger sample size and more powerful statistical methods found associations between male endpoints and metabolites of DEHP (Swan 2008). Recently a relationship between prenatal DEHP exposure and shorter AGD in male newborns was reported from Japan (Suzuki et al. 2012) and from Mexico (Bustamante-Montes et al. 2013). However, a study from Taiwan found a negative association between prenatal monobutyl phthalate (MBP) exposure and AGD in newborn girls but not in boys (Huang et al. 2009). To date no studies in humans have included metabolites of DiNP.

Aim of the study

Animal data suggest that DiNP may have anti-androgen properties similar to DEHP (Boberg et al. 2011). This raises concern, since DiNP has been introduced to replace DEHP and consequently DiNP exposure is rapidly increasing in populations globally. While several studies have examined phthalates and AGD in humans, none has included DiNP. Therefore, in the current study we examine the relationship between 1st trimester urinary metabolite concentrations of DEP, DBP, DEHP, BBzP as well as DiNP in relation to anogenital distance in boys at 21 months of age.

Method

Description of the SELMA study

The Swedish Environmental Longitudinal, Mother and child, Asthma and allergy (SELMA) study is a prospective birth cohort study in Sweden including more than 2,000 mother-child pairs followed from early pregnancy (Bornehag et al. 2012). The study aim is to investigate the impacts of early life exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals and other exposures, for multiple outcomes of growth, development and chronic diseases.

The SELMA cohort was established by recruiting women in the 10th week of pregnancy in the county of Värmland, Sweden, between September 2007 and March 2010. Out of 8,394 reported pregnant women, 6,658 were invited to participate in the study. Among the invited women, 2,582 agreed to participate, corresponding to a participating rate of 39%. Of the 4,076 non-participants, 2,091 women were invited to complete a non-respondent questionnaire in order to examine possible selection bias. We found a self-selection bias in the established cohort when compared with the non-participant group, e.g. participating families did smoke less (14% vs. 19%), had more frequent asthma and allergy symptoms in the family (58% vs. 38%), as well as higher education (university level) among the mothers (51% vs. 36%) and more often lived in single-family houses (67% vs. 60%). However, there is no obvious reason that this selection bias will have an impact on identification of environmental risk factors for health effects. Detailed recruitment procedures, selection criteria as well as data relating to selection bias have been reported and discussed elsewhere (Bornehag et al. 2012). Biological samples (blood and urine) have been collected from the 2,582 pregnant women and their children. Information related to life styles, socioeconomic status, living conditions, diet, and medical history etc. has been collected using annual questionnaires (Bornehag et al. 2012).

Measurements of AGD in baby boys

Boys born between September 1st 2009 and November 20th 2010 (i.e., those who were younger than 18 months in the SELMA-study) (n=325) and their parents were invited to participate in the study and of these 228 participated (70%). Of these we were able to measure AGD in 225 boys, and of these, 196 children are included in the current analyses. Mean age of the 196 boys was 20.8 months. AGD was measured by two nurses (one pediatric staff nurse and one midwife) from the County Council of Värmland who were trained by research staff from The Infant Development and the Environment Study (TIDES), (Barret et al. 2014). The nurses had no knowledge of the mother´s phthalate concentrations. All AGD measurements were made in the baby's home or at a pediatric clinic.

We obtained two measurements of AGD using measurement methods that have been described elsewhere (Sathyanarayana et al. 2010). Briefly, the longer AGD measurement (AGDap) was measured from the center of the anus to the anterior base of the penis and the shorter (AGDas) from the center of the anus to the posterior base of the scrotum. The infant was placed on his back on a flat surface with his hips relaxed outward and pulled back towards his shoulders by his mother or an assistant. The examiner stood in front of the infant and made three measurements of both long and short AGD with a dial caliper and the coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated for each AGD measure. Only one measurement was made for 12 boys regarding AGDas and for 24 boys regarding AGDap.

Phthalate metabolites in 1st trimester urine

Of the 2,582 pregnant women participating in the SELMA-study, a first morning urine sample was obtained from 2,356 women (91%) in week 9-11 of the pregnancy. The urine samples were stored frozen at -20°C. These samples were analysed for the 10 phthalate metabolites listed in

7

Table 1. Briefly, 0.2 ml of urine were added with 0.1 ml of ammonium acetate (pH 6.5) and 0.01 ml glucoronidase (E-coli) and thereafter incubated at 37°C in 30 min. Then 0.05 ml of a 50:50 (v:v) water and acetonitrile solution of labelled (3H or 13C) internal standards of all analysed compounds were added. A C18 column (2.1 mm i.d. x 50 mm, Genesis Lightn; Grace, Deerfield, IL, USA) was used prior to the injector to reduce the interferences of contaminants in the mobile phase. The phthalate metabolites in the samples were separated on a C18 column (1.5 µm, 2.0 mm i.d. x 30 mm VisionHT; Grace, Deerfield, IL, USA). The mobile phases were water and acetonitrile with 0.08% formic acid. The samples were analysed on a Shimadzu UFLC system (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) coupled to a QTRAP5500 triple quadrupole linear ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a TurboIon Spray source (LC-MS/MS; AB Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA). The samples were analysed in triplicates and the mean of the two closest were reported. All samples were analysed in a randomized order. For quality control of the analyses, chemical blanks and two different in-house prepared quality control samples were analysed in all sample batches. The limit of detection (LOD) was defined as the concentration corresponding to a peak area ratio of three times the standard deviation of the chemical blanks and is shown in Table 1. The laboratory at Lund University is a reference laboratory for analyses of urinary phthalate metabolites in a European bio monitoring project (www.eu-hbm.info/cophes). The creatinine concentrations were analysed according to an enzymatic method described by (Mazzachi et al. 2000). The sum of DEHP and DiNP metabolites were calculated by summing the metabolite concentrations on a molar basis.

Statistical analyses

Urinary levels of phthalate metabolites were log-transformed to normalize distributions and geometric means (GM) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Associations between log-

transformed phthalate metabolite concentrations and AGD were estimated using a general linear model and we present the beta-coefficients with 95% CI. We also categorized covariate-adjusted AGD (AGDas and AGDap) into "short" (below the 25th percentile), "medium" (25th-75th percentile) and "long" (75th percentile and above) as a reference. We then used logistic regression models to estimate the odds ratio (OR) of having a boy with a short (adjusted) AGD (below the 25th percentile) compared to a long AGD (75th percentile and above) as a function of log-transformed concentrations of phthalate metabolites in prenatal urine. Finally we examined the ORs for having a short AGD compared to a long AGD as a function of quartiles of phthalate metabolite concentration in the pregnant women's urine with the lowest quartile as a reference. Therefore, using these models we examined the relation between prenatal phthalate exposure and AGD in three ways: 1) both AGD and phthalate metabolite concentrations modeled as continuous variables (results in Table 5); 2) categorical AGDs vs. continuous phthalate metabolite concentrations (results in Table 6), and 3) both AGD and phthalate metabolite concentrations modeled as categorical variables (results in Figure 1).

The literature indicates that both age and body size can influence measures of AGD. Thus, we controlled for body size by using weight-for-age percentiles by the use of WHO standards (WHO 2009). Weight for age percentiles are correlated with age, but much less correlated than weight and age (Swan 2008). Finally, in addition to weight-for-age, all models were adjusted for the boy's age at examination (months), gestational week of urine sampling, and urinary creatinine concentration. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval

The research ethics committee at Uppsala University, Sweden approved the study and informed consent was obtained from all participating adults.

Results

Study population: The study population for this analysis was quite similar to the entire SELMA study population. The 196 families included in the final analysis were somewhat better educated, smoked less, and were more likely to live in a single family house and in a rural setting (Table 2).

Anogenital distance was measured on 225 boys (19-21 months of age) between June 2011 and August 2012 and prenatal phthalates metabolites were available for 199 of them. Of these, 1 child was excluded due to an error in weight measurements and 2 children were excluded due to a CV for the AGD measurements >10%, resulting in a study population of 196 children. These 196 boys had a mean age of 20.8 months and a mean weight of 12.6 kg. In addition, AGDap was not measured on 4 of these boys, so for those analyses n=192 (Table 3).The median AGDas was 40.7 mm (inter-quartile-range 37.8-45.0 mm) while the median AGDap was 82.6 mm (inter-quartile-range 78.0-87.4 mm). The mean CV was 2.3% for AGDas and 1.6% for AGDap (Table 3).

Phthalate metabolites: Ten phthalate metabolites and creatinine were measured in urine collected in weeks 9-11. The geometric mean and 95% confidence interval for the concentration of the 10 metabolites as well as the sum of DEHP- and DiNP-metabolites are presented in Table 4. All phthalate metabolites were identified above limit of detection (LOD) in all urine samples (Table 1). The distribution of the metabolite concentrations in the AGD study population was comparable to the distribution in the entire SELMA-cohort (n=2,356) (data not shown). In Table 4 we also provide unpublished data reflecting urinary levels of phthalate metabolites in pregnant women of boys and girls from the Study for Future Families (SFF) while published data reflect boys only (Swan et al. 2005; Swan 2008) as well as from the US National Health and Nutrition

Examination Survey (NHANES) study where data is from women in child bearing age (CDC 2014).

Anogenital distance in relation to phthalate exposure: Most of the phthalate metabolites were negatively associated with AGD both before and after adjusting for covariates in multiple linear regression models, however most of the associations did not reach significance. Strongest and most significant inverse associations were found between AGDas and DiNP metabolites and most strongly for oh-MMeOP and oxo-MMeOP and the sum of DiNP metabolites (Table 5).

These associations were also seen when AGD was stratified and phthalate concentrations compared by adjusted AGD quartile (Table 6). The odds ratios for having an AGDas in the 2nd-3rd quartile when compared with the 4th quartile as a function of (log transformed) DiNP metabolite concentrations were in the range of 1.1-1.2 but non-significant, while the ORs for having an AGDas in the 1st quartile when compared with the 4th quartile was in the range of 2.6-3.1 and significant. We also saw weaker inverse associations between AGDap and DINP metabolites, which did not reach statistical significance. Associations between prenatal DEHP-metabolite exposure and shorter AGDas were also seen, however, these associations did not reach statistical significance.

Finally, the odds of having a short AGDas (in the 1st quartile) when compared to a long AGDas (4th quartile) as a function of quartile of phthalate metabolite concentration showed a linear dose response relationship for prenatal DiNP metabolite exposure (Figure 1A). Similar but somewhat weaker associations were seen between AGDas and DEHP metabolites, as well as well as MBzP metabolites, while no associations were seen with MEP and MBP metabolites. No consistent patterns were seen between AGDap and any of the phthalate metabolites (Figure 1B).

11

Discussion

Our finding that prenatal phthalate exposure is associated with shorter male AGD is consistent with several earlier studies. However, Swan (2008) found associations between a shorter male AGD and prenatal exposure, particularly for DEHP metabolites, in mothers recruited in 2000-2003, while we saw a stronger association to DiNP metabolites. Mothers of the 196 boys included in the current analysis were pregnant in 2009-2010. Phthalates in commerce changed considerably between 2000 and 2010. Since then DiNP has largely replaced DEHP in soft PVC applications such as flooring materials, and was measureable in 100% of the current mothers. Additionally, in the current study we observed associations primarily with the shorter AGD measure (AGDas) while in SFF associations were stronger for AGDap (Swan 2008), a difference that may in part be due to subtle differences in measurement methods between the two studies, but is largely unexplained.

The inverse association between prenatal DiNP exposure and AGDas was significant as expressed in Table 5 but the AGDas reduction was small in relation to the quite large increase in exposure. For example, more than an interquartile increase in DiNP exposure (Table 4) was related to a 4% (1.69 mm) reduction in AGDas in the used model (Table 5). About the same effect size have been found for DEHP exposure and AGD reduction in the SFF study (Swan 2008). However, such small relative differences have been associated to male genital birth defects and impaired reproductive function in adult males as described below.

Our finding of an association between AGD and prenatal exposure to DiNP, and to a lesser extent to DEHP (not significant) is not unexpected. DEHP is a known anti-androgen (Foster 2005; Gray et al. 2000) and DiNP may be as well. Prenatal DiNP reduces testosterone production in Harlan rats pups (Hannas et al. 2011), although it is reported to be a less potent anti-androgen

than DEHP. Furthermore, DINP exposure to rats during gestation and perinatally increased the incidence of reproductive malformations in male offspring and caused alterations in foetal testicular testosterone production (Borch et al. 2004; Gray et al. 2000). In addition, DiNP is an analogue of DEHP, i.e., the reason for replacing DEHP with DiNP in soft PVC is that the two molecules have common chemical properties, which maybe also include anti-androgenic action. Our finding is also in line with some animal data. Prenatal exposure to DiNP has been found to reduce anogenital distance in male offspring rats in three studies; in Wistar rats (Boberg et al., 2011), in Wistar Imamichi rats (Lee et al. 2006) and in Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (Ostby et al. 2001). In another study by Clewell et al. AGD was reported to be reduced, but only on postnatal day 14 and at the highest exposure level (Clewell et al. 2013b). Boberg et al. (2011) found similar dose-related effects of DiNP as previously shown for DEHP and DBP. They stated that their finding supports the conclusion that DINP has anti-androgen properties and is, therefore, a reproductive toxicant. However, they also stated that DiNP appears less potent than DEHP and DBP. On the other hand, in three other studies no association were found between prenatal DiNP exposure and AGD in offspring rats (Clewell et al. 2013a; Gray et al. 2000; Masutomi et al. 2004).

AGD measurements in SELMA boys were longer than those in SFF (Swan et al. 2005; Swan 2008). Median AGDap was 82.6 mm in SELMA and 70.2 mm in SFF; median AGDas was 40.7 mm in SELMA and 36.8 mm in SFF. This is, in part at least, due to difference in age at exam; boys in SELMA averaged 20.8 months compared to 12.8 months in SFF. The other three studies reporting associations between prenatal phthalate exposure and AGD in offspring children are not comparable to ours, since AGD measurements in those studies was measured at birth (Bustamante-Montes et al. 2013; Sathyanarayana et al. 2010; Suzuki et al. 2012).

13

Prenatal urinary levels of phthalate metabolites in the 196 mothers were comparable to those in the entire SELMA cohort suggesting that there was no substantial selection bias (data not shown). The urinary levels of most phthalate metabolites are comparable to those in SFF and NHANES but there are differences. MBP metabolite levels are higher in Swedish pregnant women (SELMA) while MEP is higher in pregnant women in USA (SFF, NHANES). MBzP-metabolites levels are slightly higher in Sweden while the picture for DEHP metabolites is more unclear with both higher and lower levels in Swedish data (Table 4). Regarding DiNP metabolites there are no data in SFF. However, based on more recent data for the DINP metabolite cx-MMeHP, concentrations in pregnant women in Sweden and the USA were similar in 2008 (Silva et al. 2013).

The associations we observed between prenatal DiNP metabolites and a shorter AGD in baby boys raises concern for at least two reasons. *Firstly*, anogenital distance has been shown to be associated with adverse health effects in humans. Three studies reported that male infants and boys with hypospadias or undescended testis had reduced AGD (Hsieh et al. 2012; Jain and Singal 2013; Thankamony et al. 2013). Moreover, a shorter AGD in adult men has been related to decreased fertility (Eisenberg et al. 2011), impaired semen quality (Mendiola et al. 2011) and lower serum testosterone levels (Eisenberg et al. 2012). Shortened AGD has also been suggested as a biomarker of testicular dysgenesis syndrome (Sharpe 2005). *Secondly*, the use of DiNP is increasing as it is used to replace DEHP in soft PVC and as a consequence the human urinary levels of DiNP metabolites are rapidly increasing globally. The total global market for phthalates have been estimated by European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) to about 6 million ton, with 1.4 million tons in Europe, the Middle East and Africa, 1.1 million tons in the Americas and 3.5 million tons in Asia, and phthalates represent 84% of the global plasticizer market (ECHA 2013).

Of the global market of plasticizers, DiNP and DIDP was reported to represent about 32% (ECHA 2013). Based on data from the American Chemistry Council the annual world production of DiNP was estimated to be about 1.5 million tons in 2013, assuming a production growth of 2.5% during the last years (ACC 2012). Biomonitoring data from Europe and the USA also show that human urinary levels of DEHP metabolites are decreasing while DiNP-metabolite concentrations are increasing (Göen et al. 2011; Silva et al. 2013).

Conclusions

The use of DiNP in soft PVC is increasing and biomonitoring data show that human DiNP metabolite levels are rapidly increasing globally. Our data suggest that this substitute phthalate may not be safer than the chemical it is replacing. We find that DiNP is associated with a shorter anogenital distance in boys at the age of 21 months, which is of concern since AGD has been shown to be related to male genital birth defects and impaired reproductive function in adult males.

References

Abb M, Heinrich T, Sorkau E, Lorenz W. 2009. Phthalates in house dust. Environ Int 35:965-970.

ACC (Amercian Chemistry Council). 2012. Overview of our work and review of current challenges. American Chemistry Council High Phthalates Panel. Presentation at SPI Flexible Vinyl Products 23rd Annual Conference July 2012. Avalaible: http://www.plasticsindustry.org/files/events/Eileen_Conneely Tuesday.pdf [accessed 26 September 2014]

Barret ES. Janssen SS, Redmon JB, Nguyen RHN, Kobrosly R, Swan SH, and the TIDES study team. 2014. Environmental health attitudes and behaviours: findings from a large pregnancy cohort study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol, DOI: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2014.02.029.

Bergh C, Torgrip R, Emenius G, Östman C. 2011. Organophosphate and phthalate esters in air and settled dust–a multi-location indoor study. Indoor Air 21(1):67-76.

Bergman Å, Heindel JJ, Jobling S, Kidd KA, Zoeller RT, Jobling SK. 2013. State of the Science of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals 2012: An Assessment of the State of the Science of Endocrine Disruptors Prepared by a Group of Experts for the United Nations Environment Programme and World Health Organization. World Health Organization. Available: http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/78101 [accessed 26 September 2014]

Boberg J, Christiansen S, Axelstad M, Kledal TS, Vinggaard AM, Dalgaard M, Nellemann C, Hass U. 2011. Reproductive and behavioral effects of diisononyl phthalate (DINP) in perinatally exposed rats. Reproductive Toxicology, 31(2):200-209.

Borch J, Ladefoged O, Hass U, Vinggaard AM. 2004. Steroidogenesis in fetal male rats is reduced by DEHP and DINP, but endocrine effects of DEHP are not modulated by DEHA in fetal, prepubertal and adult male rats. Reproductive toxicology 18(1):53-61.

Bornehag CG, Moniruzzaman S, Larsson M, Lindström CB, Hasselgren M, Bodin A et al. 2012. The SELMA study: A birth cohort study in sweden following more than 2000 Mother–Child pairs. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 26(5):456-467.

Bornehag CG, Sundell J, Lundgren B, Weschler CJ, Sigsgaard T, Hagerhed-Engman L. 2005. Phthalates in indoor dust and their association with building characteristics. Environ Health Perspect 113(10):1399-404.

Bornehag CG, Sundell J, Weschler CJ, Sigsgaard T, Lundgren B, Hasselgren M et al. 2004. The association between asthma and allergic symptoms in children and phthalates in house dust: A nested case-control study. Environmental Health Perspective 112(14):1393-1397.

Bustamante-Montes L, Hernández-Valero M, Flores-Pimentel D, García-Fábila M, Amaya-Chávez A, Barr D et al. 2013. Prenatal exposure to phthalates is associated with decreased anogenital distance and penile size in male newborns. Journal of Developmental Origins of Health and Disease:1-7.

Carlstedt F, Jönsson B, Bornehag CG. 2013. PVC flooring is related to human uptake of phthalates in infants. Indoor Air 23(1):32-39.

CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2014. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Data. Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Available: http://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/search/nhanes09_10.aspx [accessed 26 September 2014]

Clewell RA, Sochaski M, Edwards K, Creasy DM, Willson G, Andersen ME. 2013a. Disposition of diiosononyl phthalate and its effects on sexual development of the male fetus following repeated dosing in pregnant rats. Reproductive Toxicology 35:56-69.

Clewell RA, Thomas A, Willson G, Creasy DM, Andersen ME. 2013b. A dose response study to assess effects after dietary administration of diisononyl phthalate (DINP) in gestation and lactation on male rat sexual development. Reproductive Toxicology 35:70-80.

Dodson R, Nishioka M, Standley L, Perovich L, Brody J, Rudel R. 2011. Chemical analysis of household and personal care products for endocrine disrupting compounds and other chemicals of emerging concern. Epidemiology 22(1):S243.

Eisenberg ML, Hsieh MH, Walters RC, Krasnow R, Lipshultz LI. 2011. The relationship between anogenital distance, fatherhood, and fertility in adult men. PLoS One 6(5):e18973.

Eisenberg ML, Jensen TK, Walters RC, Skakkebaek NE, Lipshultz LI. 2012. The relationship between anogenital distance and reproductive hormone levels in adult men. J Urol 187(2):594-598.

ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). 2013. Evaluation of new scientific evidence concerning dinp and didp in relation to entry 52 of annex xvii to reach regulation (ec) no 1907/2006. Available: http://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/31b4067e-de40-4044-93e8-9c9ff1960715 [accessed 26 September 2014]

Foster PMD. 2005. Disruption of reproductive development in male rat offspring following in utero exposure to phthalate esters. Int J of Androl 29(1):140-147.

Frederiksen H, Jørgensen N, Andersson A. 2010. Correlations between phthalate metabolites in urine, serum, and seminal plasma from young danish men determined by isotope dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J Anal Toxicol 34(7):400-410.

Fromme H, Gruber L, Seckin E, Raab U, Zimmermann S, Kiranoglu M et al. 2011. Phthalates and their metabolites in breast milk—Results from the bavarian monitoring of breast milk (BAMBI). Environ Int 37(4):715-722.

Göen T, Dobler L, Koschorreck J, Müller J, Wiesmüller GA, Drexler H et al. 2011. Trends of the internal phthalate exposure of young adults in germany--follow-up of a retrospective human biomonitoring study. Int J Hyg Environ Health 215(1):36-45.

Gray LE, Ostby J, Furr J, Price M, Veeramachaneni DR, Parks L. 2000. Perinatal exposure to the phthalates DEHP, BBP, and DINP, but not DEP, DMP, or DOTP, alters sexual differentiation of the male rat. Toxicological Sciences 58(2):350-365.

Hannas BR, Lambright CS, Furr J, Howdeshell KL, Wilson VS, Gray LE. 2011. Dose-response assessment of fetal testosterone production and gene expression levels in rat testes following in utero exposure to diethylhexyl phthalate, diisobutyl phthalate, diisoheptyl phthalate, and diisononyl phthalate. Toxicological Sciences 123(1):206-216.

Hsieh MH, Eisenberg ML, Hittelman AB, Wilson JM, Tasian GE, Baskin LS. 2012. Caucasian male infants and boys with hypospadias exhibit reduced anogenital distance. Human reproduction 27(6):1577-1580.

Huang PC, Kuo PL, Chou YY, Lin SJ, Lee CC. 2009. Association between prenatal exposure to phthalates and the health of newborns. Environ Int 35(1):14-20.

Jain VG. Singal AK. 2013. Shorter anogenital distance correlates with undescended testis: a detailed genital anthropometric analysis in human newborns. Human Reproduction 28(9): 2343–2349.

Jensen MS, Nørgaard-Pedersen B, Toft G, Hougaard DM, Bonde JP, Cohen A et al. 2012.Phthalates and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid in human amniotic fluid: Temporal trends and timing of amniocentesis in pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect 120(6):897.

Koch HM, Lorber M, Christensen KL, Pälmke C, Koslitz S, Brüning T. 2013. Identifying sources of phthalate exposure with human biomonitoring: Results of a 48h fasting study with urine collection and personal activity patterns. Int J Hyg Environ Health 216(6):672-681.

Langer S, Weschler CJ, Fischer A, Bekö G, Toftum J, Clausen G. 2010. Phthalate and PAH concentrations in dust collected from danish homes and daycare centers. Atmos Environ 44(19):2294-2301.

Langer S, Bekö G, Weschler CJ, Brive LM, Toftum J, Callesen M et al. 2014. Phthalate metabolites in urine samples from danish children and correlations with phthalates in dust samples from their homes and daycare centers. Int J Hyg Environ Health 217(1):78-87.

Lee H, Yamanouchi K, Nishihara M. 2006. Effects of perinatal exposure to phthalate/adipate esters on hypothalamic gene expression and sexual behavior in rats. Journal of Reproduction and Development 52(3):343-352.

MacLeod DJ, Sharpe RM, Welsh M, Fisken M, Scott HM, Hutchison GR, Drake AJ, van den Driesche S. (2010). Androgen action in the masculinization programming window and development of male reproductive organs. Int Journal of Andrology 33(2):279-287.

Masutomi N, Shibutani M, Takagi H, Uneyama C, Lee K, Hirose M. 2004. Alteration of pituitary hormone-immunoreactive cell populations in rat offspring after maternal dietary exposure to endocrine-active chemicals. Arch Toxicol 78(4):232-240.

Mazzachi BC, Peake MJ, Ehrhardt V. 2000. Reference range and method comparison studies for enzymatic and jaffe creatinine assays in plasma and serum and early morning urine. Clin Lab 46(1-2):53-55.

Mendiola J, Stahlhut RW, Jørgensen N, Liu F, Swan SH. 2011. Shorter anogenital distance predicts poorer semen quality in young men in rochester, new york. Environ Health Perspect 119(7):958.

Ostby J, Hotchkiss A, Furr J, Gray L. 2001. Investigation of the ability of diisononyl phthalate (DINP) to alter androgen-dependent tissue development in sprague-dawley rats. Toxicologist 60(1):225.

Rudel RA, Gray JM, Engel CL, Rawsthorne TW, Dodson RE, Ackerman JM et al. 2011. Food packaging and bisphenol A and bis (2-ethyhexyl) phthalate exposure: Findings from a dietary intervention. Environ Health Perspect 119(7):914.

Rudel RA, Perovich LJ. 2009. Endocrine disrupting chemicals in indoor and outdoor air. Atmos Environ 43(1):170-181.

Sathyanarayana S, Beard L, Zhou C, Grady R. 2010. Measurement and correlates of ano-genital distance in healthy, newborn infants. Int J Androl 33(2):317-323.

Sharpe RM, Fisher JS, Millar MM, Jobling S, Sumpter JP. 1995. Gestational and lactational exposure of rats to xenoestrogens results in reduced testicular size and sperm production. Environ Health Perspect 103(12):1136.

Sharpe RM. 2005. Phthalate exposure during pregnancy and lower anogenital index in boys: Wider implications for the general population? Environ Health Perspect 113(8):A504-5.

Shi W, Hu X, Zhang F, Hu G, Hao Y, Zhang X et al. 2012. Occurrence of thyroid hormone activities in drinking water from eastern china: Contributions of phthalate esters. Environ Sci Technol 46(3):1811-1818.

Silva MJ, Jia T, Samandar E, Preau Jr JL, Calafat AM. 2013. Environmental exposure to the plasticizer 1, 2-cyclohexane dicarboxylic acid, diisononyl ester (DINCH) in US adults (2000—2012). Environ Res 126:159-163.

Suzuki Y, Yoshinaga J, Mizumoto Y, Serizawa S, Shiraishi H. 2012. Foetal exposure to phthalate esters and anogenital distance in male newborns. Int J Androl 35(3):236-244.

Swan SH. 2008. Environmental phthalate exposure in relation to reproductive outcomes and other health endpoints in humans. Environ Res 108(2):177-184.

Swan SH, Main KM, Liu F, Stewart SL, Kruse RL, Calafat AM et al. 2005. Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. Environ Health Perspect 113(8):1056-61.

Thankamony A, Lek N, Carroll D, Williams M, Dunger DB, Acerini CL et al. 2013. Anogenital distance and penile length in infants with hypospadias or cryptorchidism: Comparison with normative data. Environ Health Perspect http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307178.

USEPA (US Environmental Protection Agency). 1996. Guidelines for Reproductive Toxicity Risk Assessment. Federal Register 61(212):56274-56322. Available http://www.epa.gov/raf/publications/pdfs/REPRO51.PDF [accessed 26 September 2014]

Van den Driesche S, Scott HM, MacLeod DJ, Fisken M, Walker M, Sharpe RM. 2011. Relative importance of prenatal and postnatal androgen action in determining growth of the penis and anogenital distance in the rat before, during and after puberty. Int J of Andrology, 34 (6pt2), e578-e586.

Wan H, Leung P, Zhao Y, Wei X, Wong M, Wong CK. 2013. Blood plasma concentrations of endocrine disrupting chemicals in Hong Kong populations. J Hazard Mater 261:763-769.

WHO. 2009. WHO child growth standards: growth velocity based on weight, length and head circumference: Methods and development. World Health Organization. Department of Nutrition for Health and Development. Available: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44026/1/9789241547635_eng.pdf?ua=1 [accessed 26 September 2014]

Wittassek M, Koch HM, Angerer J, Brüning T. 2011. Assessing exposure to phthalates–The human biomonitoring approach. Molecular nutrition & food research 55(1):7-31.

Zhang Q, Lu X, Zhang X, Sun Y, Zhu D, Wang B et al. 2013. Levels of phthalate esters in settled house dust from urban dwellings with young children in Nanjing, China. Atmos Environ 69:258-264.

Table 1. Analysed phthalate metabolites in the urine of 196 pregnant women, their mother compounds including CAS number, and limit of detections.

Parent compounds		Metabolites			Limit of detection (LOD)
Diester name (acronym)	CAS NO	Monoester name	Acronym	CAS NO	ng/ml
Diethyl Phthalate (DEP)	84-66-2	Mono-ethyl phthalate	MEP	2306-33-4	0.01
Dibutyl Phthalate (DBP)	84-74-2	Mono-n-butyl phthalate	MnBP	131-70-4	0.10
Butylbenzyl Phthalate (BBzP)	85-68-7	Mono-benzyl phthalate	MBzP	2528-16-7	0.04
Di-2-ethylhexyl Phthalate (DEHP)	117-81-7	Mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	MEHP	4376-20-9	0.10
		Mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxylhexyl) phthalate	oh-MEHP	40321-99-1	0.02
		Mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate	oxo-MEHP	40321-98-0	0.03
		Mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate	cx-MEPP	40809-41-4	0.02
Diisononyl Phthalate(DiNP)	68515-48-0	Mono-(4-methyl-7-hydroxyloctyl) phthalate	oh-MMeOP	936021-98-6	0.02
		Mono-(4-methyl-7-oxo octyl) phthalate	oxo-MMeOP	936022-00-3	0.01
		Mono-(4-methyl-7-carboxyheptyl) phthalate	cx-MMeHP	936022-02-5	0.02

Table 2. Characteristics of the study population of 196 boys and the families in the entire SELMA study.

Variable	The current study (n=196)	SELMA[a] (n=1,974)
	(%)	(%)
Surrounding for the home		
Urban	16.8	19.3
Suburban	47.1	47.9
Rural	36.1	32.9
Type of home		
Single family house	64.2	60.8
Row house	7.1	7.9
Apartment in multifamily house	26.4	29.5
Other	2.4	1.8
Education for the mother (highest)		
Elementary school	2.8	3.9
High school (Gymnasium)	33.5	36.0
University	58.5	54.0
Other	5.2	6.0
Smoking in family		
Any smoker in the family	16.0	18.4

[a]Data from a questionnaire at week 10 of pregnancy when the urine samples from the pregnant women were taken (n=1,974).

Table 3. Description of the measurements of the 196 boys including AGD measurements and other characteristics.

	Mean (SD)	Percentiles		
		25th	**50th**	**75th**
Age of the children (months)	20.8 ± 1.6	19.7	20.7	21.8
Weight of the children (kg)	12.6 ±1.3	11.7	12.6	13.3
Gestational week for urine sampling	10.0 ±2.3	9.0	10.0	11.0
Anogenital distance (mm)				
AGDas	41.4 ±5.98	37.8	40.7	45.0
AGDap (n=192)	82.2 ±6.94	78.0	82.6	87.4
CV (%)[a]				
AGDas (n=184)	2.28	1.03	1.59	2.91
AGDap (n=168)	1.58	0.66	1.13	1.84

[a]For 12 boys only one measurement were made regarding AGDas and for 24 boys only one AGDap measurement were done.

Table 4. Distribution of urinary levels of phthalate metabolites (ng/mL) in 196 women in the current study and in two American studies, SFF and NHANES.

Phthalate	Metabolite	The current study 2008-2009 (1st trimester) (n=196)				SFF[a] 2000-2003 (2nd trimester) (n=380)	NHANES[b] 2005-2010 (women 20-40 years) (n=1,069)
		GM (95% CI)	25th	50th	75th	GM (95% CI)	GM (95% CI)
DEP	MEP	63.64 (54.35, 74.52)	30.67	60.56	134.12	149.00 (124.66, 178.10)	100.99 (89.28, 114.24)
DBP	MBP	67.62 (60.02, 76.17)	43.13	66.03	111.78	15.04 (13.49, 16.77)	18.98 (17.34, 20.77)
BBzP	MBzP	15.99 (13.50, 18.95)	7.85	15.08	35.58	9.97 (8.64, 11.50)	7.37 (6.63, 8.19)
DEHP	MEHP	3.27 (2.87, 3.73)	1.91	3.30	5.86	3.23 (2.82, 3.70)	2.61 (2.31, 2.95)
	oh-MEHP	14.42 (12.82, 16.22)	8.69	15.28	22.85	11.74 (10.28, 13.40)	18.30 (16.14, 20.73)
	oxo-MEHP	9.68 (8.59, 10.91)	5.67	9.99	15.60	10.51 (9.26, 11.93)	11.67 (10.36, 13.14)
	cx-MEPP	14.21 (12.63, 15.98)	8.00	14.53	22.50	19.97 (16.45, 24.24)	28.78 (25.71, 32.21)
	SumDEHP[c]	142.61 (126.99, 160.16)	84.56	148.13	220.71	118.33 (104.37, 134.17)	-
DiNP	oh-MMeOP	6.81 (5.60, 8.28)	2.83	6.27	14.23	-	-
	oxo-MMeOP	3.05 (2.56, 3.63)	1.33	2.75	6.22	-	-
	cx-MMeHP	10.81 (9.16, 12.75)	4.95	8.26	16.43	-	-
	SumDiNP[3]	67.74 (57.00, 80.52)	28.28	55.91	124.92	-	-
Creatinine (mmol/L)		9.55 (8.94, 10.20)	7.00	9.60	13.10	-	-

[a]Unpublished data from mothers of boys and girls, while published data reflect boys only (Swan et al. 2005, Swan 2008). [b]Based on pooled 2005-2010 NHANES cycles, and women of ages 20-40 years (CDC 2014). [c]The unit is nmol/L.

Table 5. Association between AGD in boys and log-transformed concentrations of phthalate metabolites in prenatal urine expressed as a beta-coefficient with a 95% CI from an adjusted[a] linear regression model.

Phthalate	Metabolite	AGDas		AGDap	
		Beta (95%CI)	p-value	Beta (95%CI)	P-value
DBP	MBP	-1.41 (-4.39, 1.57)	0.351	-2.06 (-5.29, 1.18)	0.211
DEP	MEP	0.63 (-1.29, 2.54)	0.518	-1.30 (-3.40, 0.81)	0.225
BBzP	MBzP	-1.66 (-3.56, 0.25)	0.088	-0.65 (-2.74, 1.44)	0.542
DEHP	MEHP	-1.28 (-3.74, 1.17)	0.304	-1.74 (-4.43, 0.95)	0.203
	oh-MEHP	-1.24 (-3.99, 1.51)	0.374	-1.50 (-4.50, 1.49)	0.324
	oxo-MEHP	-0.77 (-3.48, 1.94)	0.576	-1.25 (-4.19, 1.70)	0.406
	cx-MEPP	-0.89 (-3.69, 1.92)	0.534	-0.64 (3.69, 2.40)	0.677
	SumDEHP	-1.16 (-4.01, 1.68)	0.420	-1.39 (-4.49, 1.70)	0.375
DiNP	oh-MMeOP	-1.61 (-3.06, -0.16)	**0.029**	-1.23 (-2.83, 0.37)	0.131
	oxo-MMeOP	-1.82 (-3.47, -0.17)	**0.031**	-1.67 (-3.49, 0.15)	0.072
	cx-MMeHP	-1.51 (-3.26, 0.24)	**0.091**	-1.39 (-3.32, 0.53)	0.156
	SumDiNP	-1.69 (-3.35, -0.02)	**0.047**	-1.46 (-3.29, 0.38)	0.119

[a]Adjusted for age (months), gestational week of urine sampling, weight-for-age percentile, and creatinine

Table 6. Association between AGD (short, medium and long as reference) and log-transformed concentrations of phthalate metabolites in prenatal urine expressed as an odds ratio (OR) with 95% CI calculated in an adjusted[a] logistic regression model.

Phthalate	Metabolite	AGDas			AGDap		
		Short 1st quartile	Medium 2nd-3rd quartile	Long 4th quartile (ref)	Short 1st quartile	Medium 2nd-3rd quartile	Long 4th Quartile (ref)
DBP	MBP	1.84 (0.43, 7.91)	0.73 (0.21, 2.60)	1.0	1.83 (0.37, 9.02)	0.84 (0.23, 3.01)	1.0
DEP	MEP	1.06 (0.47, 2.40)	0.84 (0.32, 2.16)	1.0	2.23 (0.80, 6.24)	1.11 (0.47, 2.62)	1.0
BBzP	MBzP	1.92 (0.74, 5.00)	0.75 (0.33, 1.79)	1.0	1.49 (0.53, 4.17)	1.21 (0.52, 2.81)	1.0
DEHP	MEHP	1.71 (0.52, 5.64)	1.04 (0.37, 2.93)	1.0	1.98 (0.51, 7.66)	**4.01 (1.32, 12.20)**	1.0
	oh-MEHP	1.85 (0.50, 6.95)	0.89 (0.27, 2.92)	1.0	2.62 (0.58, 11.78)	3.05 (0.91, 10.16)	1.0
	oxo-MEHP	1.47 (0.40, 5.37)	0.72 (0.22, 2.32)	1.0	2.23 (0.50, 9.87)	3.05 (0.93, 10.07)	1.0
	cx-MEPP	1.76 (0.46, 6.70)	0.98 (0.30, 3.28)	1.0	1.76 (0.38, 8.27)	2.80 (0.82, 9.57)	1.0
	SumDEHP	1.82 (0.47, 7.06)	0.88 (0.26, 3.00)	1.0	2.44 (0.51, 11.72)	3.36 (0.96, 11.82)	1.0
DiNP	oh-MMeOP	**2.61 (1.24, 5.48)**	1.08 (0.55, 2.09)	1.0	1.47 (0.67, 3.22)	1.17 (0.61, 2.24)	1.0
	oxo-MMeOP	**2.99 (1.28, 7.00)**	1.17 (0.54, 2.53)	1.0	1.73 (0.70, 4.23)	1.28 (0.61, 2.70)	1.0
	cx-MMeHP	**3.11 (1.27, 7.66)**	1.21 (0.52, 2.78)	1.0	1.77 (0.67, 4.65)	1.41 (0.62, 3.21)	1.0
	SumDiNP	**3.02 (1.28, 7.09)**	1.16 (0.52, 2.56)	1.0	1.69 (0.68, 4.20)	1.32 (0.61, 2.84)	1.0

[a]Adjusted for age (months), gestational week for urine sampling, weight for age percentile, and creatinine.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Odds ratio for having a short AGD (in the 1st quartile) compared to a long AGD (4th quartile) as a function of quartile of phthalate metabolite concentration in the pregnant women's urine [(A) AGDas, (B) AGDap]. Adjustments made for age (months), gestational week of urine sampling, weight-for-age percentile, and creatinine.

Figure 1.